

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/015,355	12/12/2001	Michael D. Hooven	HOOV 113	1021
26568	7590 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTI SUITE 2850			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
	DAMS STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, I	L 60606	,	3739	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/015,355	HOOVEN, MICHAEL D.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rosiland S Rollins	3739				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
	for Reply						
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai - Any ear	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we flure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1)⊠	Posponsive to communication(s) filed on 20.5	Dogombor 2004					
طرا (2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 December 2004</u> .  This action is FINAL. 2h This action is non-final.						
· · · · ·	,—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)∐	· · · ——						
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or tion Papers	r election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		the Examiner				
. • ,		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachme							
2) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>10</u>	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/015,355

Art Unit: 3739

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 9, 14, 15 and 20 are under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable rejected by Schmaltz et al. (US 6050996) further in view of Nezhat (US 6162220). Schmaltz et al. discloses a device for clamping and ablating tissue comprising a first and second handle member, first and second jaw members, first and second elongated conductive ablation members (11, 12), elongate support members (22, 23) supporting substantially the entire length of its associated conductive member and an insulator (24) disposed between the conductive member and the support member. Schmaltz et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the tissue-contacting portion having a width that is substantially narrower than the width of the clamping surface. Nezhat discloses a similar device and teach that is old and well known in the art to provide a tissue-contacting portion having a width that is substantially narrower than the width of the clamping surface (figure 2e), to improve the current flow between the tissuecontacting portions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a tissue-contacting portion having a width that is substantially narrower than the width of the clamping surface on the Schmaltz et al. device to improve the current flow between.

Claims 2, 4, 8, 10, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmaltz et al and Nezhat combined. Schmaltz et al. teaches all of the limitations of the claims except the specific dimensions of the ablation member as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the claimed dimensions for the ablation member since it has been held to be within the skill level of a worker in the art to choose the dimensions of an instrument on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Claims 5, 7, 11, 13, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmaltz et al. combined with Nezhat further in view of Yamauchi (US 6273887). Schmaltz et al. teaches all of the limitations of the claims except the conductive member being a wire. Yamauchi disclose a similar device and teach that it is old and well known in the art to provide a wire electrode to decrease the contact area between the electrode and tissue. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a wire as the conductive member of Schmaltz et al. to limit the contact area between the electrode and tissue and focus the energy being supplied to the tissue.

Claims 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmaltz et al. combined with Nezhat further in view of Baker (US 6113598). Schmaltz et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the conductive ablation members defining an interior bore. Baker it all teach that it is old and well known in the art to provide conductive ablation members with an interior bore (figures 11-14) to assist in

Art Unit: 3739

the reduction of charring. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an interior bore in the conductive ablation members of Schmaltz et al. particularly in view of the teaching of Baker.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

RR